



Australian Government
Repatriation Medical Authority

REPATRIATION MEDICAL AUTHORITY

INSTRUMENT NO. 72 OF 2014

VETERANS' ENTITLEMENTS ACT 1986
MILITARY REHABILITATION AND COMPENSATION ACT 2004

EXPLANATORY NOTES FOR TABLING

1. The Repatriation Medical Authority (the Authority) has determined, under subsection 196B(10) of the *Veterans' Entitlements Act 1986* (the VEA) Amendment Statement of Principles Instrument No. 72 of 2014 concerning **myeloma**.
2. This instrument amends Statement of Principles Instrument No. 69 of 2012 concerning **myeloma** by:
 - inserting new factor 6(da) concerning 'exposure to 2,3,7,8 tetrachlorodibenzo-para-dioxin (TCDD)'; and
 - specifying the date of effect of this amendment.
3. The changes are made at the direction of the Specialist Medical Review Council (the SMRC) in accordance with its Declaration No. 23 dated 12 May 2014. The SMRC Declaration appeared in the Government Notices Gazette of 13 May 2014.
4. In accordance with subsection 196B(13) of the VEA, the changes as made by Instrument No. 72 of 2014, take effect from 13 May 2014.
5. As these changes provide additional means by which myeloma can be related to relevant service the earlier date of effect does not affect the rights of claimants so as to disadvantage them nor would it impose additional liabilities on them.
6. No consultation was undertaken by the Authority prior to determining this Instrument, as the changes are made at the direction of the SMRC in accordance with section 196W of the VEA. The SMRC undertook public notification and consultation as part of its consideration of the matter, inviting eligible persons or organisations to make submissions. The SMRC decision, and directions to the Authority, were contained in a Declaration published in the Government Notices Gazette of 13 May 2014.
7. This instrument is compatible with the Human Rights and Freedoms recognised or declared in the International Instruments listed in Section 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*. A Statement of Compatibility with Human Rights follows.



Australian Government
Repatriation Medical Authority

Statement of Compatibility with Human Rights

(Prepared in accordance with Part 3 of the Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011)

Instrument No.: **Amendment Statement of Principles No. 72 of 2014**

Kind of Injury, Disease or Death: **Myeloma**

This Legislative Instrument is compatible with the human rights and freedoms recognised or declared in the international instruments listed in section 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*.

Overview of the Legislative Instrument

1. This Legislative Instrument is determined pursuant to subsection 196B(10) of the *Veterans' Entitlements Act 1986* (the VEA) for the purposes of the VEA and the *Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2004* (the MRCA).
2. This Legislative Instrument:-
 - facilitates claimants in making, and the Repatriation Commission and Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Commission in assessing, claims under the VEA and the MRCA respectively, by specifying the circumstances in which medical treatment and compensation can be extended to eligible persons who have myeloma;
 - facilitates the review of such decisions by the Veterans' Review Board and the Administrative Appeals Tribunal;
 - includes a new factor which the current sound medical-scientific evidence indicates must as a minimum exist, before it can be said that a reasonable hypothesis has been raised, connecting myeloma with the circumstances of eligible service rendered by a person;
 - amends Instrument No. 69 of 2012; and
 - reflects the Specialist Medical Review Council's review of the available sound medical-scientific evidence concerning myeloma considered by the Repatriation Medical Authority when that earlier instrument was determined.
3. The Instrument is assessed as being a technical instrument which improves the medico-scientific quality of outcomes under the VEA and the MRCA.

Human Rights Implications

4. This Legislative Instrument does not derogate from any human rights. It promotes the human rights of veterans, current and former Defence Force members as well as other persons such as their dependents, including:
- the right to social security (Art 9, *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*; Art 26, *Convention on the Rights of the Child* and Art 28, *Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities*) by helping to ensure that the qualifying conditions for the benefit are 'reasonable, proportionate and transparent'¹;
 - the right to an adequate standard of living (Art 11, ICSECR; Art 27, CRC and Art 28, CRPD) by facilitating the assessment and determination of social security benefits;
 - the right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health (Art 12, ICSECR and Art 25, CRPD), by facilitating the assessment and determination of compensation and benefits in relation to the treatment and rehabilitation of veterans and Defence Force members; and
 - the rights of persons with disabilities by facilitating the determination of claims relating to treatment and rehabilitation (Art 26, CRPD).

Conclusion

This Legislative Instrument is compatible with human rights as it does not derogate from and promotes a number of human rights.

Repatriation Medical Authority

¹ In General Comment No. RH (The right to social security), the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights said (at paragraph 24) this to be one of the elements of ensuring accessibility to social security.